



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

IP

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/900,220 07/24/97 MIAO

N ONV044.01

EXAMINER

025181 HM22/0205  
FOLEY, HOAG & ELIOT, LLP  
PATENT GROUP  
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE  
BOSTON MA 02109

BRANNOCK, M  
ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

34

1646  
DATE MAILED:

02/05/01

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.  
**08/900,220**

Applicant(s)  
**Miao, N. et al.**

Examiner  
**Michael Brannock, Ph.D.**

Group Art Unit  
**1646**



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Brannock

(3) David Halstead

(2) David Romeo

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Feb 2, 2001

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

US 5789543

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed 112 first paragraph issues. Examiner indicated that polypeptides having 98% identity to SEQ ID NO: 17 are supported by an enabling description.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

**MICHAEL BRANNOCK, PH.D.**  
**PATENT EXAMINER**  
**ART UNIT 1646**